UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,010	10/21/2005	Dirk Jeroen Breebart	NL030489	9918
24737 7590 09/03/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIABCLIEF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			PULLIAS, JESSE SCOTT	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,010	BREEBART ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JESSE S. PULLIAS	2626		

	VEGGE G. 1 GEEN/G	2020
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) after the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a fil	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	. , ,
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		impliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 13.		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Talivaldis Ivars Smits/	/Jesse S. Pullias/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626	Examiner, Art Unit 2626	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amended claim 1 now requires that the "average of subsequent values" be an "average of subsequent values" and "not including preceding values", which is more specific than the previous claim language which only required "an average of subsequent values", and so it rules out prior art which e.g. takes a central moving average and would require further search for prior art which, e.g., takes only a forward moving average.

Additionally, the proposed amendment to page 7 line 12- page 8 line 9 of the specification would have to be considered to determine whether it contains new matter. Although the Remarks argue on page 9 that "The added clarification can be reasonably inferred from at least the same paragraph of the specification, thus no new matter has been added", it is unclear why the fact that only "subsequent values" are mentioned would necessarily mean that no preceding values may be included.